EXHIBIT 37

```
Page 233
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                      DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA
3
     IN THE MATTER OF
                                          )
5
    IN RE BAIR HUGGER FORCED AIR
    WARMING
б
    PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION
7
                          Plaintiff,
                                          )PRETRIAL ORDER NO: 7
8
                                          )Protective Order
    v.
                                          )MDL No. 15-2666
9
     3M COMPANY AND ARIZANT
                                         )(JNE/FLN)
    HEALTHCARE INC.
10
                         Defendant.
11
                     DEPOSITION OF PAUL MCGOVERN
12
                               VOLUME II
13
                      Thursday, January 5, 2017
14
                      AT: FAEGRE BAKER DANIELS LLP
15
                               Taken at:
16
                          7 Pilgrim Street
                          London EC4V 6LB
17
                          United Kingdom
18
19
20
    Court Reporter:
21
    Louise Pepper: Accredited Real-time Reporter
22
    Videographer: Simon Addinsell
23
24
25
    JOB NO. 117121
```

Page 370 Page 371 1 DR. PAUL MCGOVERN DR. PAUL MCGOVERN 2 2 MR. C. GORDON: What was the last number you had? A. Yes. 3 MR. SACCHET: Yes, cell number 44. Q. Does the data presented in this Excel spreadsheet 4 MR. C. GORDON: 44? 4 match the data presented in the published study? 5 5 MR. SACCHET: Yes. A. The data we've discussed matches the data in the 6 THE WITNESS: Row number. 6 published study. 7 7 MR. SACCHET: Oh, I apologize. 44C, labeled Q. Now let's look at the significance of the data. 8 8 "FAW". Table 2 shows a P value of 0.024 with respect to patient 9 9 MR. C. GORDON: From September 15? warming device; correct? 10 BY MR. SACCHET: 10 A. Yes. 11 11 Q. Labeled "FAW". If we could now look at the cells Q. A P value of 0.024 is statistically significant, is 12 12 43, 45 and 46, what is their label in the BC column? it not? 13 13 A. "CFW". A. Yes. 14 14 Q. How many total CFW infections is that? Q. That would indicate that there was a sufficiently 15 A. 3. 15 powered difference between infection rates in patients who 16 16 Q. So there are 32 forced-air warming infections and 3 received conductive fabric warming devices versus those who 17 17 conductive fabric warming infections; correct? received forced-air warming devices; correct? 18 18 A. That is what this analysis would suggest, yes. 19 Q. If we turn back to the McGovern study, exhibit 13, 19 Q. Table 2 also bears the number 3.8 under "Odds 20 20 and look back to table 2. ratio"; do you see that? 21 A. Yes. 21 A. Yes, yes. 22 22 Q. Are there three conductive fabric warming related Q. What does that number signify? 23 23 infections? A. I am not happy to define that at the moment, 24 24 A. Indeed there are. because I may make a mistake. Q. Does it relate to, on the first page of the study, 25 Q. Are there 32 forced-air warming related infections? 25 Page 372 Page 373 1 1 DR. PAUL MCGOVERN DR. PAUL MCGOVERN 2 2 in the line that I previously read, which is in bold in the Q. The final two lines of the large paragraph in the 3 3 third paragraph, where it states "A significant increase in middle of the page states: 4 4 deep joint infections as demonstrated by an elevated "It is quite dramatic and will demonstrate to 5 5 infection odds ratio of 3.8 was identified during a period reviewers that there was a genuine change with CFW 6 6 when forced-air warming was used compared to a period when rather than a steady decline due to other reasons." 7 7 conductive fabric warming was used"? Do you see that? 8 8 A. That is what this refers to. A. That is what it says here, yes. 9 9 Q. So there was a 3.8 times more likely rate that Q. Does that refresh your recollection that Mr. Reed 10 10 a patient would incur a deep joint infection with the use of stated that the data showed a genuine change with conductive 11 11 a forced-air warming device than with a conductive fabric fabric warming, rather than a steady decline due to other 12 12 warming device; correct? 13 13 A. That is what I understand from these data and from A. It does refresh my memory to that effect. 14 14 Q. Do you have any reason to doubt Mr. Reed's ability this analysis. 15 15 Q. Do you recall Mr. Reed informing you that "The data to make such a statement? 16 16 was dramatic and will demonstrate to reviewers that there A. I do not. 17 was a genuine change with conductive fabric warming rather 17 Q. You have all the confidence that you could that 18 18 than a steady decline due to other reasons"? Mr. Reed would accurately state such a statement? 19 19 A. I don't recall him saying that. 2.0 (Exhibit 17 marked for identification) 20 Q. Do you recall the reviewers from the Journal of 21 21 Q. If I could direct your attention to page 2 of 3. Bone and Joint Surgery stating that there were -- that the 22 22 There is an e-mail dated February 19, 2011, from Mike Reed data in your study supported serious issues with forced-air 23 23 to Mr. Albrecht and vourself and Mr. Belani and warming devices? 24 24 Mr. Nacthsheim; correct? A. I don't recall their comments to that effect. 25 A. Yes. 25 (Exhibit 18 marked for identification)

Page 414 Page 415 1 1 DR. PAUL MCGOVERN DR. PAUL MCGOVERN 2 2 objection, please. A. This data -- I can't agree with the term 3 3 "corroborates the fact". The fact is not --MR. C. GORDON: Form. 4 A. That is what this data appears to show. 4 BY MR. SACCHET: 5 5 BY MR. SACCHET: Q. Also shows? 6 Q. So this data shows there is a 3.6 times increase in 6 A. Yeah. Could you just repeat the phrase, please, or 7 7 infection as a result of using forced-air warming devices rephrase that? Or --8 8 compared to conductive fabric warming devices; correct? Q. I'll rephrase the question. 9 Based on the data presented in this table and the 9 A. That is what --10 10 MR. C. GORDON: Object to the form of the data presented in the McGovern study, both studies for 11 11 question. both datasets show that there was a three -- at least 12 12 A. That is what this table appears to show. a three times more likely chance that a patient 13 13 developed an infection after using forced-air warming BY MR. SACCHET: 14 14 Q. And both this odds ratio and the odds ratio than conductive fabric warming? 15 presented in the final published McGovern study are both 15 MR. C. GORDON: Object to the form of the 16 16 above 3.0; correct? question. 17 17 A. Yes. Patients who were in the group with A. Yes. 18 18 Q. So, based on this data in the increased patient forced-air warming on this data appear to have had a three 19 population of those who received conductive fabric warming, 19 times or more higher incidence of infection compared to the 20 20 conductive fabric group of patients for this study. this data corroborates the fact that there is at least 21 21 a three times more likely chance that patients who received THE COURT REPORTER: Can I just ask you to stop 22 22 forced-air warming developed an infection, compared to those for 30 seconds, sorry. 23 23 who received conductive fabric warming? THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off at two minutes past 24 24 MR. C. GORDON: Object to the form of the three. 25 25 question. (3:02 p.m.) Page 416 Page 417 1 1 DR. PAUL MCGOVERN DR. PAUL MCGOVERN 2 2 (Break taken.) the pooled result[s] 3 (3:04 p.m.) 3 "The statistics in the discussion for the updated 4 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at four McGovern numbers provided as provided [sic] in the 5 5 minutes past three. text." 6 6 (Exhibit 24 marked for identification) Do you see that? 7 7 BY MR. SACCHET: A. Yes. 8 8 Q. Mr. McGovern, are you aware of any data that's been Q. In the third paragraph it says: 9 collected regarding other healthcare facilities that have 9 "I think this is the best modeling approach 10 10 shown a decreased rate of infection after the switch from (i.e. a conservative one) for the data you have, 11 forced-air warming devices to conductive fabric warming 11 especially if you expect these results to be critically 12 devices? 12 questioned down the road." 13 13 A. I am not. Do you see that? 14 14 O. If you could take a look at the exhibit which was A. Yes. 15 15 just marked. The first page is an e-mail; is that correct? Q. Okay. And the next page is a document entitled 16 16 "Forced-air warming link to periprosthetic total joint 17 Q. From Mr. Albrecht to Scott Augustine, bearing the 17 replacement infections"; correct? 18 18 subject line "Results" with attachments "MA_edits"; correct? A. Yes. 19 19 Q. And the "Methods" says: 20 Q. And Mark Albrecht states: 20 "To investigate whether the rising 21 "I've updated the statistics in the white 21 contaminants from the waste FAW heat are linked to 22 22 paper under **MA_edits.doc**." PJIs, we retrospectively collected joint implant 23 23 A. Yes. infection data from three hospitals. We compared PJI 24 24 Q. "The updates include: rates during a period of forced-air warming to PJI 25 "The statistics in the Table for all centers and 25 rates during a period of free-air conductive fabric